arXiv:1601.04796v5 [math.DG] 26 Aug 2016

FOUR-MANIFOLDS WITH POSTIVE YAMABE CONSTANT

HAI-PING FU

Abstract. We refine Theorem B due to Gursky [26]. As applications, we give some rigidity theorems on four-manifolds with postive Yamabe constant. In particular, these rigidity theorems are sharp for our conditions have the additional properties of being sharp. By this we mean that we can precisely characterize the case of equality. We prove some classification theorems of four manifolds according to some conformal invariants (see Theorems 5.1, 1.3 and 1.6), which reprove and generalize the conformally invariant sphere theorem of Chang-Gursky-Yang [11], i.e., Theorem D.

1. Introduction and main results

In [19], the author proved that an n-manifold with harmonic curvature satisfied some inequality that the upper bound of some curvature functionals is controlled by Yamabe constant is actually isometric to a quotient of the standard sphere or an Einstein manifold. By this we mean that we can precisely characterize the case of equality. The aim of this paper is to present some rigidity results in the subject of curvature pinching on four-manifolds with postive Yamabe constant.

Let (M^n, g) be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold. The decomposition of the Riemannian curvature tensor Rm into irreducible components yield

$$Rm = W + \frac{1}{n-2}\mathring{Ric} \owedge g + \frac{R}{2n(n-1)} g \owedge g,$$

where W, Ric, $\mathring{Ric} = Ric - \frac{R}{n}g$ and R denote the Weyl curvature tensor, Ricci tensor, trace-free Ricci tensor and scalar curvature, respectively. When the divergence of the Weyl curvature tensor W is vanishing, i.e., $\delta W = 0$, (M^n, g) is said to be a manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor.

The sphere theorem for $\frac{1}{4}$-pinched Riemannian manifolds, conjectured by H. Rauch in 1951, is a good example of the deep connections between the topology and the geometry of Riemannian manifolds. After a large amount of research, now we know that the answer is positive, due to the fundamental work of Klingenberg, Berger and Rauch for the topological statement and the recent proof of the original conjecture by Brendle and Schoen [7], based on the results of Böhm and Wilking [8].

Now, since the work of Huisken [28] and Margerin [30], we know that there exists a positive-dimensional constant $C(n)$ such that if $|W + \frac{1}{n-2}\mathring{Ric} \owedge g|^2 < C(n)R^2(g)$, then M^n is diffeomorphic to a quotient of the standard unit sphere. In particular, Margerin improved the constant in dimension four, and obtained the optimal theorem in [30].

The common feature of all the above results is to give topological information on a manifold that carry a metric whose curvature satisfies a certain pinching at each point. The

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C21; Secondary 53C20.

Key words and phrases. Four-manifold, Einstein manifold, harmonic curvature, harmonic Weyl tensor, Yamabe constant.

Supported in part by National Natural Science Foundations of China #11261038 and #11361041.

question one raises here is whether one can characterize the topology and the geometry of Riemannian manifolds by means of integral pinching conditions instead of pointwise ones. Some results in this direction on four manifolds were obtained by some authors [4, 11, 13, 25, 26, 27].

In four-manifolds, the Weyl functional $\int |W_g|^2$ has long been an object of interest to physicists. Suppose M^4 is a four-dimensional manifold. Then the Hodge $*$-operator induces a splitting of the space of two-forms $\wedge^2 = \wedge^2_+ + \wedge^2_-$ into the subspace of self-dual forms $\wedge^2_+$ and anti-self-dual forms $\wedge^2_-$. This splitting in turn induces a decomposition of the Weyl curvature into its self-dual and anti-self-dual components $W^{\pm}$. A four-manifold is said to be self-dual (resp., anti-self-dual) if $W^- = 0$ (resp., $W^+ = 0$). It is said to be a manifold with half harmonic Weyl tensor if $\delta W^{\pm} = 0$. By the Hirzebruch signature formula (see [2]),

$$\int_M |W^+|^2 - \int_M |W^-|^2 = 48\pi^2\sigma(M), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\sigma(M)$ denotes the signature of M. A consequence of (1.1) is that the study of the Weyl functional is completely equivalent to the study of the self-dual Weyl functional $\int_M |W^+|^2$. Gursky [25, 26] have obtained some good and interesting results by studying $\int_M |W^+|^2$ (See Theorems A, B and C). For background material on this condition we recommend chapter 16 of [2] and the paper of Derdzinski [15].

Our formulation of some results will be given in term of the Yamabe invariant. Now we introduce the definition of the Yamabe constant. Given a compact Riemannian n-manifold M, we consider the Yamabe functional

$$Q_g : C^\infty_+(M) \to \mathbb{R} : f \mapsto Q_g(f) = \frac{\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\int_M |\nabla f|^2 dv_g + \int_M Rf^2 dv_g}{(\int_M f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_g)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}},$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature of M. It follows that Q_g is bounded below by Hölder inequality. We set

$$\mu([g]) = \inf\{Q_g(f) | f \in C^\infty_+(M)\}.$$

This constant $\mu([g])$ is an invariant of the conformal class of (M, g), called the Yamabe constant. The important works of Schoen, Trudinger and Yamabe showed that the infimum in the above is always achieved (see [1, 29]). The Yamabe constant of a given compact manifold is determined by the sign of scalar curvature [1].

Gursky [25, 26] proved the three striking Theorems A, B and C. As byproducts, Gursky [25, 26] obtained these integral pinching results, which are generalizations of the Bochner theorem in dimension four (See Theorems E, F and G).

Theorem A (Gursky [25]**).** Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant and the space of self-dual harmonic two-forms $H^2_+(M^4) \neq 0$. Then

$$\int_M |W^+|^2 \geq \frac{16}{3}\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) + 3\sigma(M^4)),$$

where $\chi(M)$ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of M. Furthermore, equality holds in the above inequality if and only if g is conformal to a positive Kähler-Einstein metric.

Theorem B (Gursky [26]**).** Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant and $\delta W^+ = 0$. Then either (M^4, g) is anti-self-dual, or

$$\int_M |W^+|^2 \geq \frac{16}{3}\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) + 3\sigma(M^4)).$$

Furthermore, equality holds in the above inequality if and only if g is a positive Einstein metric which is either Kähler, or the quotient of a Kähler manifold by a free, isometric, anti-holomorphic involution.

Theorem C (Gursky [25]**).** Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant and the space of harmonic 1-forms $H^1(M^4) \neq 0$. Then

$$\int_M |W^+|^2 \geq 8\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) + 3\sigma(M^4)).$$

Furthermore, equality holds in the above inequality if and only if (M^4, g) is conformal to a quotient of $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric.

Chang, Gursky and Yang [11] proved that a four manifold with positive Yamabe constant which satisfies the strict inequality for the Weyl functional $\int |W_g|^2$ is actually diffeomorphic to a quotient of the sphere and precisely characterize the case of equality. We state this result of Chang-Gursky-Yang as follows:

Theorem D(Chang-Gursky-Yang [11]**).** Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant. If

$$\int_M |W|^2 \leq 16\pi^2\chi(M),$$

then 1) M^4 is diffeomorphic to the round $\mathbb{S}^4$ or to the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^4$;

2) M^4 is conformal to a manifolds which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric;

3) M^4 is conformal to $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

Bour and Carron [4] reprove and extend to higher degrees and higher dimensions Theorems F and G obtained by M. Gursky. Bour [3] give a new proof of Theorem D under a stronger pinching assumption, which is entirely based on the study of a geometric flow, and doesn't rely on the pointwise version of the theorem, due to Margerin. Chen and Zhu [13] proved a classification theorem of 4-manifolds according to some conformal invariants, which generalizes the conformally invariant sphere theorem of Chang-Gursky-Yang [11], i.e., Theorem D under the strict inequality assumption, and relies on Chen-Tang-Zhu's classification on four-manifolds with positive isotropic curvature [12].

In this note, we refine Theorems B and E due to Gursky, and obtain Theorem 1.1 as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with $\delta W^\pm = 0$ and positive Yamabe constant $\mu([g])$. If*

$$\int_M |W_g^\pm|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]). \tag{1.2}$$

Then $\nabla W^\pm = 0$ and $W^\pm$ has exactly two distinct eigenvalues at each point. Hence (M^4, g) is a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature.

Combing some results due to Gursky and Chen-Tang-Zhu's classification on four-manifolds with positive isotropic curvature with Theorem 1.1, we give some rigidity theorems on four-manifolds with positive Yamabe constant.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]),$$

then (M^4, g) is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]),$$

then 1) $\tilde{g}$ is a Yamabe minimizer and $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric;

2) (M^4, g) is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^4$, $\mathbb{RP}^4$, $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}/G$ or a connected sum of them. Here G is a cocompact fixed point free discrete subgroup of the isometry group of the standard metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 < \frac{64}{3}\pi^2\chi(M).$$

Then 1) $\tilde{g}$ is a Yamabe minimizer and $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is the stand sphere $\mathbb{S}^4$ or the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^4$;

2) (M^4, g) is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

Theorem 1.5. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{64}{3}\pi^2\chi(M),$$

then 1) $\tilde{g}$ is a Yamabe minimizer and $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is the manifold which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric or the manifold which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with a rotationally symmetric Derdziński metric (see [9, 14]*);*

2) (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric.

Theorem 1.6. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold which is not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^4$ or $\mathbb{RP}^4$ with positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$16\pi^2\chi(M) < \int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{64}{3}\pi^2\chi(M),$$

then 1) $\tilde{g}$ is a Yamabe minimizer and $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is isometric to a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric;

2) (M, g) has $\chi(M) = 3$, $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 = 1$, where b_i denotes the i-th Betti number of M, and has not harmonic Weyl tensor.

Theorem 1.7. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and positive scalar curvature. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 = \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]), \tag{1.3}$$

then 1) M^4 is a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric;

2) M^4 is covered isometrically by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric;

3) M^4 is covered isometrically by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with a rotationally symmetric Derdziński metric.

Theorem 1.8. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and positive scalar curvature. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 < \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]), \tag{1.4}$$

then 1) M^4 is a quotient of the round $\mathbb{S}^4$;
2) M^4 is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

Corollary 1.9. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional complete Einstein manifold with positive scalar curvature. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]),$$

then 1) (M^4, g) is isometric to either a stand sphere $\mathbb{S}^4$ or a real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^4$;
2) (M^4, g) is isometric to a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric;
3) (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric.

Remark 1.10. For Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature and dimensions $n \geq 4$, the author proved some similar results in [19].

Theorem 1.11. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and positive scalar curvature. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]),$$

then 1) (M^4, g) is conformally flat with positive constant scalar curvature;
2) (M^4, g) is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric;
3) (M^4, g) is isometric to a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Professor M. J. Gursky for some helpful suggestions. The author is very grateful for Professors Haizhong Li, Kefeng liu and Hongwei Xu's encouragement and help.

2. Four manifolds with half harmonic Weyl tensor

In order to prove some results in this article, we need the following Weyl Estimate proved by Gursky in [26].

Theorem E (Gursky [26]**).** Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with $\delta W^{\pm} = 0$ and positive Yamabe constant $\mu([g])$. If

$$\int_M |W_g^{\pm}|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]),$$

then (M^4, g) is anti-self-dual (resp., self-dual).

Remark 2.1. First, Gursky obtained an improved Kato inequality $|\nabla W^+|^2 \geq \frac{5}{3}|\nabla |W^+||^2$ in [26]. Thus using the Bochner technique, Gursky proved Theorems B, E, F and G by introducing the corresponding functional and conformal invariant with the modified scalar curvature $R - \sqrt{6}|W^{\pm}$ in [26]. Based on Gursky's improved Kato inequality, we can reprove Theorems B, E, F and G only by using the modified Bochner technique (See [4, 19, 20]). In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we also need the following proof of Theorem E.

Proof. First, we recall the following Weitzenböck formula (see [2] and [3])

$$\triangle |W^{\pm}|^2 = 2|\nabla W^{\pm}|^2 + R|W^{\pm}|^2 - 144 det_{\wedge^2_{\pm}} W^{\pm}. \tag{2.1}$$

From (2.1), by the Kato inequality $|\nabla W^+|^2 \geq \frac{5}{3}|\nabla |W^+||^2$ ([26]), we obtain

$$|W^{\pm}|\triangle |W^{\pm}| \geq \frac{2}{3}|\nabla |W^{\pm}||^2 + \frac{1}{2}R|W^{\pm}|^2 - 72 det_{\wedge^2_{\pm}} W^{\pm}. \tag{2.2}$$

By a simple Lagrange multiplier argument it is easily verified that

$$-144 det_{\wedge^2_{\pm}} W^{\pm} \geq -\sqrt{6}|W^{\pm}|^3 \tag{2.3}$$

and equality is attained at a point where $W^{\pm} \neq 0$ if and only if $W^{\pm}$ has precisely two eigenvalues. By (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$|W^{\pm}|\triangle|W^{\pm}| \geq \frac{2}{3}|\nabla|W^{\pm}||^2 + \frac{1}{2}R|W^{\pm}|^2 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}|W^{\pm}|^3. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $u = |W^{\pm}|$. By (2.4), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\alpha}\triangle u^{\alpha} &= u^{\alpha}\left(\alpha(\alpha-1)u^{\alpha-2}|\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u^{\alpha-1}\triangle u\right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}|\nabla u^{\alpha}|^2 + \alpha u^{2\alpha-2}u\triangle u \\ &\geq (1-\frac{1}{3\alpha})|\nabla u^{\alpha}|^2 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\alpha u^{2\alpha+1} + \frac{R\alpha}{2}u^{2\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where α is a positive constant. Integrating (2.5) by parts, we get

$$(2-\frac{1}{3\alpha})\int_M |\nabla u^{\alpha}|^2 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\alpha\int_M u^{2\alpha+1} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\int_M Ru^{2\alpha} \leq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

By the Hölder inequality and (2.6), we have

$$(2-\frac{1}{3\alpha})\int_M |\nabla u^{\alpha}|^2 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\alpha\left(\int_M u^{4\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_M u^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\int_M Ru^{2\alpha} \leq 0. \tag{2.7}$$

For $2-\frac{1}{3\alpha} > 0$, by the definition of Yamabe constant and (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq (2-\frac{1}{3\alpha})\frac{1}{6}\mu([g])\left(\int_M u^{4\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\alpha\left(\int_M u^{4\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_M u^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ + \frac{9\alpha^2-6\alpha+1}{18\alpha}\int_M Ru^{2\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

We choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, from (2.8) we get

$$0 \geq \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\mu([g]) - \left(\int_M u^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\left(\int_M u^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.9}$$

We choose $\left(\int_M |W^{\pm}|^2\right) < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$ such that the above inequality imply $\int_M u^{\frac{4}{3}} = 0$, that is, $W^{\pm} = 0$, i.e., (M^4, g) is anti-self-dual, or self-dual. □

Remark 2.2. For $0 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$, by the Kato inequality for harmonic k-form ω (see [5]) $\frac{n+1-k}{n-k}|\nabla|\omega|| \leq |\nabla\omega|$ and the two Weitzenböck formulas in [25], one has

$$\frac{1}{2}\triangle|\omega|^2 \geq |\nabla\omega|^2 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}|W^{\pm}||\omega|^2 + \frac{1}{3}R|\omega|^2 \geq \frac{3}{2}|\nabla|\omega||^2 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}|W^{\pm}||\omega|^2 + \frac{1}{3}R|\omega|^2, \forall\omega \in H^2_{\pm}(M^4)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\triangle|\omega|^2 \geq \frac{4}{3}|\nabla|\omega||^2 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|\mathring{Ric}||\omega|^2 + \frac{1}{4}R|\omega|^2, \forall\omega \in H^1(M^4).$$

Based on the above two Weitzenböck formulas, using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem E, we can obtain two results of Gursky [25, 26] as follows:

Theorem F(Gursky [25, 26]**).** Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant $\mu([g])$.

i) If

$$\int_M |W^{\pm}|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]),$$

then $H^2_{\pm}(M^4) = 0$ and $b^{\pm}_2(M) = 0$;

ii) If

$$\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 < \frac{1}{12}\mu^2([g]),$$

then $H^1(M^4) = 0$ and $b_1(M) = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (1.2) implies that the equality holds in (2.9). When the equality holds in (2.9), all inequalities leading to (2.7) become equalities. From (2.8), the function u^{α} attains the infimum in the Yamabe functional. From (2.7), the equality for the Hölder inequality implies that u is constant, i.e., $|W^{\pm}|$ is constant. Hence at every point, it has an eigenvalue of multiplicity 2 and another of multiplicity 1, i.e., $W^{\pm}$ has eigenvalues $\{-\frac{R}{12}, -\frac{R}{12}, \frac{R}{6}\}$, and R is constant. From (2.1), we get $\nabla W^{\pm} = 0$. By Proposition 5 in [15], (M^4, g) is a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature. □

By Remark 2.3, we can rewrite Theorem B as follows:

Theorem B*. Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with $\delta W^+ = 0$ and positive Yamabe constant $\mu([g])$. Then either (M^4, g) is anti-self-dual, or

$$\int_M |W^{\pm}_g|^2 \geq 16 \int_M \sigma_2(A), \tag{2.10}$$

Furthermore, equality holds in (2.10) if and only if g is a positive Einstein which is either Kähler, or the quotient of a Kähler manifold by a free, isometric, anti-holomorphic involution.

Remark 2.3. $\int_M |W^{\pm}_g|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$ implies that

$$\int_M |W^{\pm}_g|^2 \geq \frac{16}{3}\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) \pm 3\sigma(M^4)). \tag{2.11}$$

In fact, we recall the following lower bound for the Yamabe invariant on compact four-manifolds which was proved by M. J. Gursky (see [24]):

$$96 \int_M \sigma_2(A) = \int_M R^2 - 12 \int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \leq \mu^2([g]), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\sigma_2(A)$ denotes the second-elementary function of the eigenvalues of the Schouten tensor A, the inequality is strict unless (M^4, g) is conformally Einstein. By the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula (see Equation 6.31 of [2])

$$\int_M |W|^2 - 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2 = 32\pi^2\chi(M)$$

we obtain

$$\int_M |W^{\pm}_g|^2 \geq -2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2 = 32\pi^2\chi(M) - \int_M |W|^2 \tag{2.13}$$

Combining (1.1) with the above, we can prove (2.11).

(2.10) implies that

$$\int_M |W^{\pm}_g|^2 = \frac{16}{3}\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) \pm 3\sigma(M^4)). \tag{2.14}$$

In fact, by Theorem D, we have $\int_M |W^{\pm}_g|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) = 16\int_M \sigma_2(A)$. Hence from (2.13), (2.14) holds.

For four-manifolds M^4 with harmonic Weyl tensor and positive Yamabe constant $\mu([g])$ which is not locally conformally flat , the lower bound for $\mu([g])$ is given by i) if M is anti-self-dual, $\mu^2([g]) \leq 6\int_M |W^-|^2$; ii) if M is self-dual, $\mu^2([g]) \leq 6\int_M |W^+|^2$; iii) if M is neither

anti-self-dual nor self-dual, $\mu^2([g]) \leq 6\min\{\int_M |W^-|^2, \int_M |W^+|^2\}$. The Yamabe constant $\mu^2([g])$ of a compact positive Kähler-Einstein manifold (M^4, g) is equal to $32\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) + 3\sigma(M^4))$.

Proof. By Theorem E, we get

$$\int_M |W_g^+|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]).$$

Hence we get from (2.10)

$$\int_M |W_g^+|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) = 16\int_M \sigma_2(A).$$

So g is conformal to an Einstein metric $\tilde{g}$. By Theorem 1.1, we get that (M^4, g) is a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature.

Assume that $\tilde{g} = \lambda^2 g$. We now claim that λ is constant, i.e., g is Einstein metric. To see this, first notice that $\tilde{g}$ being Einstein metric implies that $\delta W_{\tilde{g}}^+ = 0$. We recall this transformation law about W^+, i.e.,

$$\delta_{\tilde{g}} W_{\tilde{g}}^+ = \delta_g W_g^+ - W_g^+(\frac{\nabla\lambda}{\lambda}, \dots,). \tag{2.15}$$

It is easy to see from (2.15) that

$$W_g^+(\frac{\nabla\lambda}{\lambda}, \dots,) = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Now any oriented four-manifold W^+ satisfies (see [15])

$$(W^+)^{ikpq}(W^+)_{jkpq} = |W^+|^2\delta_j^i. \tag{2.17}$$

Pairing both sides of (2.17) with $(d\lambda \otimes d\lambda)_i^j$ and using (2.16) we get $|W^+|^2|\nabla\lambda|^2 = 0$. Since $|W_{\tilde{g}}^+|$ is constant, W^+ never vanishes, so $\nabla\lambda = 0$ and λ is constant.

Hence we conclude that (M^4, g) is an Einstein manifold which is either Kähler, or the quotient of a Kähler manifold by a free, isometric, anti-holomorphic involution. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact analytic Riemannian manifold with $\delta W^\pm = 0$. Then*

$$\int_M |W^\pm|^{\frac{1}{3}}[R - \sqrt{6}|W^\pm|] \leq 0$$

and equality occurs if and only if either (M^4, g) is anti-self-dual (resp., self-dual), or (M^4, g) is conformal to a Kähler manifold.

Proof. We choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{6}$ in (2.6), obtain

$$\int_M |W^\pm|^{\frac{1}{3}}[R - \sqrt{6}|W^\pm|] \leq 0. \tag{2.18}$$

If the equality holds in (2.18), all inequalities leading to (2.6) become equalities. Hence at every point, either $W^\pm$ is null, i.e., M is anti-self dual, or it has an eigenvalue of multiplicity 2 and another of multiplicity 1. Since M^4 is analytic, either (M^4, g) is anti-self-dual (resp., self-dual), or $W^\pm$ has exactly two distinct eigenvalues at each point. By Proposition 5 in [15], (M^4, g) is conformal to a Kähler manifold . □

3. Four manifolds with harmonic Weyl tensor

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Theorem E, we have that $W^+ = 0, \int_M |W^-| = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, or $W^- = 0, \int_M |W^+| = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem 1.1, (M^4, g) is a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature.

When $W^+ = 0$, by Corollary 1 in [15], the scalar curvature of (M^4, g) is 0, and $\mu([g]) = 0$. Contradiction.

When $W^- = 0$, by Lemma 7 in [15], (M^4, g) is locally symmetric. By the result of Bourguignon [6], (M^4, g) is Einstein. Then g is both Einstein and half conformally flat. By the classification theorem of Hitchin (see [2]), (M^4, g) is isometric to either a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^4$ with the round metric or $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric. Since we are assuming that is not locally conformal flat, (M^4, g) is $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric. □

Corollary 3.1. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional complete Einstein manifold with positive scalar curvature. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]), \tag{3.1}$$

then M^4 is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

Remark 3.2. If "=" in (3.1) is replaced by "<", Xiao and the author [23] proved that M^4 is a quotient of the round $\mathbb{S}^4$, which is proved by Theorem E. For dimensions $n > 4$, under some $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$ pinching condition, G. Canto [10] and the author [22, 23] proved that M is a quotient of the round $\mathbb{S}^n$, respectively.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \le \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2, \textit{ i.e., } \int_M |W|^2 \le 16\pi^2\chi(M).$$

Then 1) M^4 is a locally conformally flat manifold. In particular, $\tilde{g}$ is a Yamabe minimizer and $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is the stand sphere $\mathbb{S}^4$, the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^4$, the manifold which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric, or the manifold which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with a rotationally symmetric Derdziński metric;

2) (M^4, g) is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

Proof. By the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula, we get

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2 = 2\int_M |W|^2 - 32\pi^2\chi(M) \le 0, \text{ i.e., } \int_M |W|^2 \le 16\pi^2\chi(M).$$

From (2.12), we get

$$\int_M |W|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) \le \int_M |W|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2.$$

Moreover, the inequality is strict unless (M^4, g) is conformally Einstein.

In the first case "<", we have

$$\int_M |W|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]), \text{ i.e., } \int_M |W^{\pm}|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]).$$

By Theorem E, we obtain that M^4 is conformally flat. Since $\int_M |W|^2$, $\mu^2([g])$ and $\int_M \sigma_2(A)$ are conformally invariant, there exists a conformal metric $\tilde{g}$ of g such that $\mu^2([g]) = \int_M R^2_{\tilde{g}}$,

and

$$\int_M |W_{\tilde{g}}|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\int_M R_{\tilde{g}}^2 = \int_M |W|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2 \leq 0, \tag{3.2}$$

i.e.,

$$2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) \leq 0.$$

By Theorems 1.13 and 1.15 in [21], $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is isometric to the round $\mathbb{S}^4$, the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^4$, a manifold which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric, or a manifold which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with a rotationally symmetric Derdziński metric.

In the second case "=', we have

$$\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]).$$

Here g is conformally Einstein. By Theorem 1.2, (M^4, g) is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric. □

Remark 3.4. Any compact conformally flat 4-manifold with $\mu([g]) > 0$ and $\chi(M) \geq 0$ has been classified [24, 25]. Gursky proved that M^4 is conformal to the round $\mathbb{S}^4$, the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^4$, or a quotient of $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric in [24, 25]. Comparing with Theorem D, it is easy to see that the condition in Theorem 3.3 is strong and the conclusion in Theorem 3.3 is also strong.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula, we get

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{3}\int_M R^2 = 3\int_M |W|^2 - 64\pi^2\chi(M) < 0, \text{ i.e., } \int_M |W|^2 < \frac{64}{3}\pi^2\chi(M). \tag{3.3}$$

From (2.12), we get

$$\int_M |W|^2 - \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]) \leq \int_M |W|^2 + 4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{3}\int_M R^2.$$

Moreover, the inequality is strict unless (M^4, g) is conformally Einstein. We have

$$\int_M |W|^2 < \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]).$$

a) $W = 0$. Since $\int_M |W|^2$, $\mu^2([g])$ and $\int_M \sigma_2(A)$ are conformally invariant, there exists a conformally metric $\tilde{g}$ of g such that $\mu^2([g]) = \int_M R_{\tilde{g}}^2$, and from (3.3) we have

$$4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}|^2 - \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]) < 0.$$

By Theorem 1.13 in [21], $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is the round $\mathbb{S}^4$ or the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^4$.

b) $W \neq 0$. By Theorem E, $b_1(M) = 0$. Hence $\chi(M) = 2 + b_2$. By Theorem 3.3, we assume $16\pi^2\chi(M) < \int_M |W|^2$. By this fact that $\mu^2([g]) \leq \mu^2(\mathbb{S}^4) = 384\pi^2$ and the inequality is strict unless (M^4, g) is conformal to $\mathbb{S}^4$, $\int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g])$ implies that $\chi(M) \leq 7$. By Theorem E, we have that $W^+ = 0$ and $\int_M |W^-|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, or $W^- = 0$ and $\int_M |W^+|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem F and the Hirzebruch signature formula, $b_2(M) = b_2^-(M) \neq 0$ or $b_2(M) = b_2^+(M) \neq 0$. Hence $3 \leq \chi(M) = 2 + b_2 \leq 7$.

When $W^- = 0$ and $\int_M |W^+|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, $\chi(M) = 2 + b_2(M) = 2 + b_2^+(M) \leq 7$. By the Hirzebruch signature formula

$$\frac{4\chi(M)}{9} > \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\int_M |W_g^+|^2 = b_2^+,$$

only the case $b_2^+ = 1$ occurs. Since $\int_M |W_g^+|^2 = 48\pi^2 = \frac{16\pi^2}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\sigma(M))$, by Remark 2.3, $\int_M |W|^2 = \int_M |W_g^+|^2 = \frac{\mu^2([g])}{6}$. Hence by Theorem 1.2, (M^4, g) is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

When $W^+ = 0$ and $\int_M |W^-|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. Similarly, we obtain $\int_M |W|^2 = \int_M |W_g^-|^2 = \frac{\mu^2([g])}{6}$. From the proof of Theorem 1.2, it can't happen. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. i) When $\chi(M) = 0$.

This pinching condition implies $W = 0$. From (3.2), there exists a conformally metric $\tilde{g}$ of g such that $\mu^2([g]) = \int_M R_{\tilde{g}}^2$ and

$$2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) = 0.$$

By Theorem 1.15 in [21], $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is a manifold which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric, or a manifold which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with a rotationally symmetric Derdziński metric.

ii) When $\chi(M) \neq 0$. Since $\int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g])$, $\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{64}{3}\pi^2\chi(M)$ implies that $\chi(M) \leq 5$. Since $\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{64}{3}\pi^2\chi(M)$, by (3.3) and Theorem F, $b_1(M) = 0$. Hence $\chi(M) = 2 + b_2$.

Case 1. In the first case "<", we have

$$\int_M |W|^2 < \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]).$$

From the proof of Theorem 1.4, we have $W^\mp = 0$ and $\int_M |W^\pm|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, and $3 \leq \chi(M) = 2 + b_2(M) = 2 + b_2^+(M) \leq 5$. By the Hirzebruch signature formula

$$\frac{4\chi(M)}{9} = \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\int_M |W_g^\pm|^2 = b_2^\pm,$$

we get $b_2^\pm$ is not integer. Hence there exists no such manifold.

Case 2. In the second case "=', we have

$$\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]).$$

Here g is conformal to a Einstein metric. Since (M^4, g) has harmonic Weyl tensor, from the proof of Theorem B*, we get that (M^4, g) is also Einstein. By corollary 1.9, (M^4, g) is a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$\frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) \leq \int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]),$$

then 1) (M^4, g) is self-dual, but is not anti-self-dual, which has either even $\chi(M^4) \leq 4$ and $b_2^+ = 2$ or odd $\chi(M^4) \leq 1$ and $b_2^+ = 1$;

2) (M^4, g) is anti-self-dual, but is not self-dual, which has either even $\chi(M^4) \leq 4$ and $b_2^- = 2$ or odd $\chi(M^4) \leq 1$ and $b_2^- = 1$;

3) (M^4, g) *is a* $\mathbb{CP}^2$ *with the Fubini-Study metric;*

4) (M^4, g) *is a quotient of a quotient of* $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ *with the product metric.*

Proof. By Theorem E, we get that $W^- = 0$, $W^+ = 0$ or $\int_M |W^\pm|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$.

When $W^\mp = 0$, $\int_M |W^\pm|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem E , we have $b_2^\mp = 0$. By the Hirzebruch signature formula

$$\pm \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\int_M |W_g^\pm|^2 = \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\int_M (|W_g^+|^2 - |W_g^-|^2) = b_2^+ - b_2^- = \pm b_2^\pm = \sigma(M), \tag{3.4}$$

we get $\pm\sigma(M) = b_2^\pm \geq 1$. Since $\int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g])$, by this fact that $\mu^2([g]) \leq \mu^2(\mathbb{S}^4) = 384\pi^2$ and the inequality is strict unless (M^4, g) is conformal to $\mathbb{S}^4$, we get $b_2^\pm \leq 2$. Then we get $\chi(M) \leq 4$.

If $\chi(M) = 3$, then $b_2^\pm = 1$ and $b_1 = 0$. By Remark 2.3, we have

$$\int_M |W^\pm|^2 \geq \frac{16}{3}\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) \pm 3\sigma(M^4)).$$

Combining with (3.4), we have

$$48\pi^2 = \pm 48\pi^2\sigma(M^4) = \int_M |W^\pm|^2 \geq \frac{16}{3}\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) \pm 3\sigma(M^4)) = 48\pi^2.$$

By Theorem B and Remark 2.3, $\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem 1.2, (M^4, g) is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

When $\int_M |W^\pm|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, by Theorem 1.1 (M^4, g) is a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature, and the Weyl tensor is parallel. Since (M^4, g) is a Kähler manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor, by Proposition 1 in [15], the Ricci tensor is parallel. Hence $\nabla Rm = 0$, i.e., M is locally symmetric. From (2.4), by the maximum principle we get $|W^\pm|^2 = \frac{R^2}{6}$, and $W^\pm$ has eigenvalues $\{-\frac{R}{12}, -\frac{R}{12}, \frac{R}{6}\}$. Thus Rm has eigenvalues $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1\}$. By the classification of four-dimensional symmetric spaces, it is isometric to a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric. □

4. Four manifolds with harmonic curvature

Theorem 4.1. *Let* (M^4, g) *be a* 4*-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and positive scalar curvature. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]), \tag{4.1}$$

then 1) M^4 *is a* $\mathbb{CP}^2$ *with the Fubini-Study metric;*

2) M^4 *is covered isometrically by* $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ *with the product metric;*

3) M^4 *is covered isometrically by* $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ *with a rotationally symmetric Derdziński metric.*

Proof. Case 1. $\mathring{Ric} = 0$, i.e., M is Einstein. By corollary 3.1, we complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Case 2. $\mathring{Ric} \neq 0$. It is easy to see from (4.1) that $\int_M |W_g^\pm|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem E, we have $W^\pm = 0$, thus $W = 0$, i.e., M is locally conformally flat and $\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 = \frac{1}{12}\mu^2([g])$, By Theorem 1.15 in [21], (4.1) implies that (M^4, g) is 2) or 3). □

Theorem 4.2. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and positive scalar curvature. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]), \tag{4.2}$$

then M^4 is a quotient of the round $\mathbb{S}^4$.

Proof. If $\mathring{Ric} \neq 0$. It is easy to see from (4.2) that $\int_M |W_g^{\pm}|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem E, we have $W^{\pm} = 0$, thus $W = 0$, i.e., M is locally conformally flat and $\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 < \frac{1}{12}\mu^2([g])$, By Theorem 1.13 in [21], (4.2) implies that (M^4, g) is a quotient of the round $\mathbb{S}^4$. Contradiction.

(4.2) implies that $\mathring{Ric} = 0$, i.e., M is Einstein, and $\int_M |W_g^{\pm}|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem E, $W_g^{\pm} = 0$, i.e., M is locally conformally flat. Hence M^4 is constant curvature space. Since the Yamabe constant is positive, M^4 is a quotient of the round $\mathbb{S}^4$. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and positive scalar curvature. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2, \textit{ i.e., } \int_M |W|^2 + \int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \leq 16\pi^2\chi(M),$$

then 1) M^4 is a quotient of the round $\mathbb{S}^4$;

2) M^4 is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

Proof. By the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula, we have

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2 = 2\int_M |W|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - 32\pi^2\chi(M) \leq 0,$$

$$\text{i.e., } \int_M |W|^2 + \int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \leq 16\pi^2\chi(M).$$

From (2.12), we get

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) \leq \int_M |W|^2 + 4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2.$$

Moreover, the inequality is strict unless (M^4, g) is conformally Einstein.

In the first case "<", Theorem 4.2 immediately implies M^4 is a quotient of the round $\mathbb{S}^4$.

In the second case "=', we have that g is conformally Einstein and

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]).$$

Since g has constant scalar curvature, g is Einstein from the proof of Obata Theorem. By corollary 3.1, (M^4, g) is $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7. It is easy to see from (1.3) that $\int_M |W_g^+|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, $\int_M |W_g^{\pm}|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$ or $\int_M |W_g^-|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$.

When $\int_M |W_g^+|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, by Theorem E, we have $W^+ = 0$. Since $\frac{R}{6}I - W^+ = \frac{R}{6}I > 0$, by Theorem 4.3 of [31], (a), (c)and (d) in Theorem 4.3 of [31] occur. If (M^4, g) is a anti-self dual Kähler manifold, by Corollary 1 in [15], the scalar curvature of (M^4, g) is 0. Hence only (a) in Theorem 4.3 of [31] can occur, i.e., M is conformal flat. By Theorem 1.15 in [21], (1.3) implies that (M^4, g) is 2) or 3).

When $\int_M |W_g^-|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, by Theorem E, we have $W^- = 0$. By the result of Bourguignon, g is conformal flat or Einstein. If g is conformal flat, (M^4, g) is 2) or 3). If g

is Einstein, g is both Einstein and half conformally flat. By the classification theorem of Hitchin, (M^4, g) is isometric to either $\mathbb{S}^4$ with the round metric or $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric g. Since we are assuming that is not locally conformal flat, (M^4, g) is $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric. Since $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is Einstein, (1.3) implies that $\int_M |W_g^{\mp}|^2 = \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g])$. On the other hand, $\mu([g_{\mathbb{CP}^2}]) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{CP}^2} R^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 12\sqrt{2}\pi$. By the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula and $\chi(\mathbb{CP}^2) = 3$, we have $\left(\int_{\mathbb{CP}^2} |W|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 4\sqrt{3}\pi = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. Contradiction.

When $\int_M |W_g^{\pm}|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, from the proof of Theorem 3.5, M^4 is isometric to a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric. □

Proof of Theorem 1.8. By Theorem 1.4 in [19], M^4 is Einstein. It is easy to see from (1.4) that $\int_M |W_g^+|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$ or $\int_M |W_g^-|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem E, we have $W^+ = 0$ or $W^- = 0$. By the classification theorem of Hitchin, (M^4, g) is isometric to either a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^4$ with the round metric or $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and positive scalar curvature. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 8\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\int_M R^2, \tag{4.3}$$

then 1)M^4 is isometric to a quotient of the round $\mathbb{S}^4$;

2) M^4 is a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric;

3) M^4 is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric.

Remark 4.5. The pinching condition (4.3) in Corollary 4.4 is equivalent to the following

$$\int_M |W|^2 + \frac{1}{15}\int_M R^2 \leq \frac{128}{5}\pi^2\chi(M).$$

Proofs of corollary 4.4 and Remark 4.5. From (2.12), we get

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]) \leq \int_M |W|^2 + 8\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{3}\int_M R^2$$

Moreover, the inequality is strict unless (M^4, g) is conformally Einstein.

In the first case "<", Theorem 1.8 immediately implies Corollary 4.4.

In the second case "=", we have that g is conformally Einstein and

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 = \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]).$$

Since g has constant scalar curvature, g is Einstein from the proof of Obata Theorem. By Theorem 1.7, we complete the proof of this corollary.

By the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula, the right-hand sides of the above can be written as

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 8\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{3}\int_M R^2 = 5\int_M |W|^2 + \frac{1}{3}\int_M R^2 - 128\pi^2\chi(M).$$

This proves Remark 4.5. □

Theorem 4.6. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and positive scalar curvature. If*

$$\frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) \leq \int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]),$$

then 1) (M^4, g) is a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric;

2) (M^4, g) *is isometric to a quotient of* $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ *with the product metric.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, We just need to consider that (M^4, g) is self-dual or anti-self-dual.

When (M^4, g) is self-dual. Since (M^4, g) has harmonic curvature, (M^4, g) is analytic [16]. By Proposition 7 in [15], we get that (M^4, g) is Einstein. By the classification theorem of Hitchin, (M^4, g) is isometric to a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric g.

When (M^4, g) is anti-self-dual, $\frac{R}{6}I - W^+ = \frac{R}{6}I > 0$. Since (M^4, g) is not self-dual, by Theorem 4.3 of [31], only (c)and (d) in Theorem 4.3 of [31] occur, i.e., (M^4, g) is a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature. By Corollary 1 in [15], the scalar curvature of (M^4, g) is 0. Contradiction. □

By Theorems E, 1.1 and 4.6, we have Theorem 1.11.

5. Four manifolds with positive Yamabe constant

Chang, Gursky and Yang's proof of Theorem D is based on establishing the existence of a solution of a forth order fully nonlinear equation. By avoiding the existence of a solution of a fourth order fully nonlinear equation, we can reprove Theorem D.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* (M^4, g) *be a* 4*-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 \leq 16\pi^2\chi(M),$$

then 1) $\tilde{g}$ *is a Yamabe minimizer and* $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ *is the manifold which is isometrically covered by* $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ *with the product metric, or* $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ *with a rotationally symmetric Derdziński metric;*

2) M^4 *is diffeomorphic to the stand sphere* $\mathbb{S}^4$ *or the real projective space* $\mathbb{RP}^4$*;*

3) $\tilde{g}$ *is a Yamabe minimizer and* $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ *is a* $\mathbb{CP}^2$ *with the Fubini-Study metric.*

Proof. i) When $\chi(M) = 0$.

This pinching condition implies $W = 0$. Since $\int_M |W|^2$, $\mu^2([g])$ and $\int_M \sigma_2(A)$ are conformally invariant, there exists a conformally metric $\tilde{g}$ of g such that $\mu^2([g]) = \int_M R^2_{\tilde{g}}$, and

$$2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) \leq 0.$$

Since $\chi(M) = 0$, by Theorems 1.13 and 1.15 in [21], $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is a manifold which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric, or a manifold which is isometrically covered by $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with a rotationally symmetric Derdziński metric.

ii) When $\chi(M) \neq 0$.

Case 1. In the first case "<", we have

$$\int_M |W|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]).$$

By Theorem E, $b_2(M) = 0$.

a) $W = 0$. Since $\chi(M) \neq 0$, by Theorems 1.13 and 1.15 in [21], $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is the round $\mathbb{S}^4$, the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^4$.

b) $W \neq 0$. Since $\int_M |W|^2$, $\mu^2([g])$ and $\int_M \sigma_2(A)$ are conformally invariant, and $W \neq 0$, there exists a conformally metric $\tilde{g}$ of g such that $\mu^2([g]) = \int_M R^2_{\tilde{g}}$, and

$$2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}|^2 - \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) < 0.$$

By Theorem E, $b_1(M) = 0$. Hence M^4 is a finite quotient of the homological sphere. By Freedman's result [17], M^4 is covered by a homeomorphism sphere. By the Hirzebruch signature formula,

$$\int_M |W^+|^2 = \int_M |W^-|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int_M |W|^2 < \frac{1}{12}\mu^2([g])$$

Thus by Section 2 in [13] and Section 3 in [26] , we get

$$|W^+_{\tilde{g}}|^2 < \frac{1}{12}R^2_{\tilde{g}}, |W^-_{\tilde{g}}|^2 < \frac{1}{12}R^2_{\tilde{g}}.$$

Let $\lambda_1^\pm \geq \lambda\pm_2 \geq \lambda\pm_3$ be the eigenvalues of $W^\pm$. Since $W^\pm$ is of trace free, we have $\lambda_1^\pm + \lambda_2^\pm + \lambda_3^\pm = 0$, and

$$\frac{3}{2}\lambda_1^{\pm 2} \leq \lambda_1^{\pm 2} + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2^\pm + \lambda_3^\pm)^2 = \lambda_1^{\pm 2} + \lambda_2^{\pm 2} + \lambda_3^{\pm 2} = \frac{1}{4}|W^\pm|^2 < \frac{1}{48}R^2,$$

i.e., $\lambda_1^\pm < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{12}R$. Hence $\lambda_2^\pm + \lambda_3^\pm > -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{12}R$. This implies the sum of least two eigenvalue of $\frac{1}{12}R + W^\pm$ is positive. So $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ has positive isotropic curvature. Since M^4 is covered by a homeomorphism sphere, by the main theorem of [12], M^4 is diffeomorphic to the stand sphere $\mathbb{S}^4$ or the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^4$;

Case 2. In the second case "=', we have

$$\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) = 16\pi^2\chi(M).$$

Hence g is conformal to a Einstein metric $\tilde{g}$. By corollary 3.1, (M^4, g) is conformal to a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric. □

Remark 5.2. The proof of Chang-Gursky-Yang consists of two steps. First, they prove this case " < "; Second, based on the first step, they prove this case " = ". We unify the two cases. Chen and Zhu [13] prove a classification theorem of 4-manifolds which generalizes Theorem C under the strict inequality assumption.

Based on the first Weitzenböck formulas in Remark 2.2, using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can obtain the following result of Gursky [26].

Theorem G (Gursky [26]**).** Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant $\mu([g])$. If $b_2^\pm \neq 0$ and

$$\int_M |W_g^\pm|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]). \tag{5.1}$$

then (M^4, g) is conformal to a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature.

Proof. Since $b_2^\pm \neq 0$, there exists a nonzero $\omega^\pm \in H^2_\pm(M)$. Setting $u = |\omega^\pm|$. Based on the first Weitzenböck formulas in Remark 2.2, using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq (2 - \frac{1}{2\alpha})\frac{1}{6}\mu([g])\left(\int_M u^{4\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha\left(\int_M u^{4\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_M |W^\pm|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ + \frac{(2\alpha-1)^2}{12\alpha}\int_M Ru^{2\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

We choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, from (5.2) we get

$$0 \geq \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\mu([g]) - \left(\int_M |W^\pm|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\left(\int_M u^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{5.3}$$

(5.1) implies that the equality holds in (5.3). When the equality holds in (5.3), all inequalities leading to (5.2) become equalities. From (5.2), the function u^α attains the infimum in the Yamabe functional. Hence the metric $\tilde{g} = u^{2\alpha}$ is a Yamabe minimizer. Then we get $|\omega^\pm|_{\tilde{g}} = 1$. Since $\int_M |W^\pm|^2$ is conformally invariant, the equality for the Hölder inequality implies that $|W^\pm|_{\tilde{g}}$ is constant. From (5.1), we get $|W^\pm|^2_{\tilde{g}} = \frac{1}{6}R^2_{\tilde{g}}$. By the first Weitzenböck formula and the maximum principle, we get $|\omega|$ is constant, thus $\nabla\omega = 0$, i.e., $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature. Hence (M^4, g) is conformal to a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature. □

Based on Theorems F and G, using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem B*, we can reprove Theorem A which is rewritten as follows:

Theorem A*. Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant $\mu([g])$ and $H^2_+(M) \neq 0$. Then

$$\int_M |W^+_g|^2 \geq 16 \int_M \sigma_2(A).$$

Furthermore, equality holds in the above inequality if and only if g is conformal to a positive Kähler-Einstein metric.

Based on Theorems F, we can reprove Theorem C which is rewritten as follows:

Theorem C*. Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant and the space of harmonic 1-forms $H^1(M^4) \neq 0$. Then

$$\int_M |W^+|^2 = 8\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) + 3\sigma(M^4)) - 8\int_M \sigma_2(A) \geq 8\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) + 3\sigma(M^4)).$$

Furthermore, the equalities holds in the above inequalities if and only if (M^4, g) is conformal to a quotient of $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric.

Proof. By Theorem F, $\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{12}\mu^2([g])$ for $H^1(M^4) \neq 0$. Since M^4 is compact, there exists a conformally metric $\tilde{g}$ of g such that $\mu^2([g]) = \int_M R^2_{\tilde{g}}$. Hence we get

$$-2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) = -2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2_{\tilde{g}} = -2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\int_M R^2 \leq 0,$$

i.e.,

$$16\int_M \sigma_2(A) \leq 0.$$

By the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula,

$$\int_M |W^+|^2 = 8\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) + 3\sigma(M^4)) - 8\int_M \sigma_2(A).$$

Hence

$$\int_M |W^+|^2 \geq 8\pi^2(2\chi(M^4) + 3\sigma(M^4)).$$

From the proof of Theorem F and the above, the equalities hold in the above inequalities imply that $|\nabla|\omega||^2 = \frac{3}{4}|\nabla\omega|^2$ and $\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 = \frac{1}{12}\mu^2([g]) = \frac{1}{12}\int_M R^2$. By Proposition 5.1 and subsection 7.2 in [4], (M^4, g) is conformal to a quotient of $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the product metric. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Case 1. $\int_M |W^\pm| < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem E, we get $b^\pm_2 = 0$. From the proof of Theorem 5.1, we get (M^4, g) has positive isotropic curvature. According to the main theorem in [12], it is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^4$, $\mathbb{RP}^4$, $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}/G$ or a connected sum of

them. Here G is a cocompact fixed point free discrete subgroup of the isometry group of the standard metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 2. $W^+ = 0, \int_M |W^-|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, or $W^- = 0, \int_M |W^+|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By the Hirzebruch signature formula

$$\frac{1}{48\pi^2}\int_M (|W_g^+|^2 - |W_g^-|^2) = b_2^+ - b_2^- = \sigma(M),$$

we get $b_2^- \neq 0$ or $b_2^+ \neq 0$. By Theorem F, (M^4, g) is conformal to a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature.

When $W^+ = 0$, by Corollary 1 in [15], the scalar curvature of $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is 0, and $\mu([g]) = 0$. Contradiction.

When $W^- = 0$, by Lemma 7 in [15], $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is locally symmetric. By the result of Bourguignon, $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is Einstein. Then $\tilde{g}$ is both Einstein and half conformally flat. By the classification theorem of Hitchin, $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is isometric to either a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^4$ with the round metric or $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric. Since we are assuming that is not locally conformal flat, $(M^4, \tilde{g})$ is $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric. □

Remark 5.3. Although the conditions Theorem 1.6 of [13] is weaker than the ones in Theorem 1.3, I do not know that Theorem 1.3 can be deduced directly from Theorem 1.6 of [13] as far as my knowledge is concerned. Chen and Zhu proved Theorem 1.6 of [13] by using Micallef-Wang's result [31] which I do not use in the proof of Theorem 1.3. From the proof of Theorem 5.1, Theorem D can be deduced from Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. From the proof of Theorem 1.4, we have $b_1 = 0$ and $2 \leq \chi(M) \leq 7$.

Case 1. In the first case "<", we have

$$\int_M |W|^2 < \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]).$$

When $\int_M |W^\pm|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, by Theorem E, $b_2^+(M) = b_2^-(M) = 0$. Hence M^4 is covered by a homeomorphism sphere. From the proof of Theorem 5.1, (M^4, g) has positive isotropic curvature. By the main theorem of [12], M^4 is diffeomorphic to the stand sphere $\mathbb{S}^4$ or the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^4$;

When $\int_M |W^+|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$ and $\int_M |W^-|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, or $\int_M |W^-|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$ and $\int_M |W^+|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem E and the Hirzebruch signature formula, $b_2(M) = b_2^-(M) \neq 0$ or $b_2(M) = b_2^+(M) \neq 0$. Hence $3 \leq \chi(M) = 2 + b_2 \leq 7$. From the proof of Theorem 1.4, we have $b_2^\pm = 1$ and $\chi(M) = 3$. If (M^4, g) has harmonic Weyl tensor, by Theorem 1.4 we have $\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$, which contradicts $\int_M |W|^2 > \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$.

Case 2. In the second case "=', we have

$$\int_M |W|^2 = \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]) = \frac{64}{3}\pi^2\chi(M).$$

Hence g is conformal to a Einstein metric $\tilde{g}$. By corollary 1.9, (M^4, g) is conformal to a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let (M^4, g) be a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$\frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) \leq \int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]),$$

and the universal cover of (M^4, g) is not diffeomorphic to a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^4$.

Then 1) the universal cover of (M^4, g) *has either* $\chi(M^4) = 4$ *and* $b_2 = b_2^+ = 2$ *or* $\chi(M^4) = 3$ *and* $b_2 = b_2^+ = 1$*;*

2) the universal cover of (M^4, g) *has either* $\chi(M^4) = 4$ *and* $b_2 = b_2^- = 2$ *or* $\chi(M^4) = 3$ *and* $b_2 = b_2^- = 1$*;*

3) the universal cover of (M^4, g) *is a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature. In particular,* (M^4, g) *is a quotient of* $(\Sigma_1, g_1) \times (\Sigma_2, g_2)$*, where the surface* (Σ_i, g_i) *has constant Gaussian curvature* k_i*, and* $k_1 + k_2 > 0$*.*

Proof. When $\int_M |W^+|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$ and $\int_M |W^-|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem E, we have $b_2 = 0$. By the proof of Case 1 in Theorem 1.3, the universal cover of (M^4, g) is diffeomorphic to a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^4$.

When $\int_M |W^\mp|^2 < \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$ and $\int_M |W^\pm|^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. By Theorem E, we have $b_2^\mp = 0$. By the Hirzebruch signature formula

$$\frac{1}{48\pi^2}\int_M (|W_g^+|^2 - |W_g^-|^2) = b_2^+ - b_2^- = \pm b_2^\pm = \sigma(M), \tag{5.4}$$

we get $\pm\sigma(M) = b_2^\pm \geq 1$. Since $\int_M |W|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g])$, by this fact that $\mu^2([g]) \leq \mu^2(\mathbb{S}^4) = 384\pi^2$ and the inequality is strict unless (M^4, g) is conformal to $\mathbb{S}^4$, we get $b_2^\pm \leq 2$. Then we get $\chi(M) \leq 4$.

When $\int_M |W^+|^2 = \int_M |W^-|^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g])$. Then we get that $\chi(M)$ is even. Only (a) and (c) in Theorem 4.10 of [31]. Moreover, if (a) in Theorem 4.10 of [31] occurs, (M^4, g) becomes positive isotropic curvature and $b_2 = 0$. By the proof of Case 1 in Theorem 1.3, the universal cover of (M^4, g) is diffeomorphic to a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^4$. if (c) in Theorem 4.10 of [31] occurs, the universal cover of (M^4, g) is diffeomorphic to a Kähler manifold of positive constant scalar curvature. Since the scalar curvature is of positive, the universal cover of (M^4, g) is diffeomorphic to $(\Sigma_1, g_1) \times (\Sigma_2, g_2)$, where (Σ_i, g_i) is a two-dimensional manifold, the Gaussian curvature k_i of g_i must be a constant and satisfies $k_1 + k_2 > 0$. □

From the proof of Theorems 1.7, 1.8, 4.1 and 5.1, we obtain

Theorem 5.5. *Let* (M^4, g) *be a* 4*-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$\int_M |W|^2 + 2\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]),$$

then 1) (M^4, g) *is a* $\mathbb{CP}^2$ *with the Fubini-Study metric;*

2) (M^4, g) *is conformal to a quotient of the round* $\mathbb{S}^4$*, or a quotient of* $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ *with the product metric;*

3) M^4 *is diffeomorphic to a quotient of the round* $\mathbb{S}^4$ *which has not harmonic Weyl tensor.*

Theorem 5.6. *Let* (M^4, g) *be a* 4*-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold which is not diffeomorphic to* $\mathbb{S}^4$ *or* $\mathbb{RP}^4$ *with positive Yamabe constant. If*

$$\frac{1}{6}\mu^2([g]) < \int_M |W|^2 + 4\int_M |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}\mu^2([g]),$$

then 1) (M^4, g) *is a quotient of* $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ *with the product metric;*

2) (M^4, g) *has* $\chi(M) = 3$*,* $b_1 = 0$ *and* $b_2 = 1$*, and has not harmonic Weyl tensor.*

References

1. T. Aubin, *Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1998.
2. A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
3. V. Bour, *Fourth order curvature flows and geometric applications.* arxiv: 1012.0342.

4. V. Bour and G. Carron, *Optimal integral pinching results.* arxiv: 1203.0384.
5. J. P. Bourguignon, *The magic of Weitzenböck formulas.* Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Vol **4**, 251–271.
6. J. P. Bourguignon, *Les variétés de dimension* 4 *à signature non nulle dont la courbure est harmonique sont d'Einstein.* Invent. Math., **63** (1981), 263–286.
7. S. Brendle and R. M. Schoen, *Classification of manifolds with weakly* $\frac{1}{4}$*-pinched curvatures.* Acta Math. **200** (2008), 1–13.
8. C. Böhm and B. Wilking, *Manifolds with positive curvature operator are space forms.* Annals of Math. **167** (2008), 1079–1097.
9. G. Catino, *On conformally flat manifolds with constant positive scalar curvature.* arXiv:1408.0902v1.
10. G. Cation, *Integral pinched shrinking Ricci solitons.* arXiv:1509.07416vl [math.DG], 2015.
11. S. -Y. A. Chang, M. J. Gursky and P. C. Yang, *A conformally invariant sphere theorem in four dimensions.* Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **98** (2003), 105–143.
12. B. L. Chen, S. H. Tang and X. P. Zhu, *Complete classification of compact four manifolds with positive isotropic curvature.* J. Diff. Geom. **91** (2012), 41–80.
13. B. L. Chen and X. P. Zhu, *A conformally invariant classification theorem in four dimensions.* Comm. Anal. Geom. **91** (2014), 811–831.
14. A. Derdziński, *On compact Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature.* Math. Ann. **259** (1982), 144–152.
15. A. Derdziński, *Self-dual Kähler manifolds and Einstein manifolds of dimension four.* Comp. Math. **49** (1983), 405–433.
16. D. M. DeTurck and H. Goldschmidt, *Regularity theorems in Riemannian geometry. ii. Harmonic curvature and the Weyl tensor.* Forum Math. **1** (1989), 377–394.
17. M. Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds.* J. Diff. Geom. **17** (1982), 357–453.
18. H. P. Fu, *Bernstein type theorems for complete submanifolds in space forms.* Math. Nachr. **285** (2012), 236–244.
19. H. P. Fu, *On compact manifolds with harmonic curvature and positive scalar curvature.* arXiv:1512.00256.
20. H. P. Fu and Z. Q. Li, *The structre of complete manifolds with weighted Poincaré inequality and minimal hypersurfaces.* International Journal of Mathematics **21**(2010) 1421–1428.
21. H. P. Fu and L. Q. Xiao, *Some* L^p *rigidity results for complete manifolds with harmonic curvature.* arXiv:1511.07094.
22. H. P. Fu and L. Q. Xiao, *Einstein manifolds with finite* L^p*-norm of the Weyl curvature.* Submitted to Differ. Geom. Appl. in September 1st 2015.
23. H. P. Fu and L. Q. Xiao, *Rigidity Theorem for integral pinched shrinking Ricci solitons.* arXiv:1510.07121.
24. M. J. Gursky, *Locally conformally flat four- and six-manifolds of positive scalar curvature and positive Euler characteristic.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), 747–774.
25. M. J. Gursky, *The Weyl functional, deRham cohomology, and Kähler-Einstein metrics.* Annals of Math. **148** (1998), 315–337.
26. M. J. Gursky, *Four-manifolds with* $\delta W^+ = 0$ *and Einstein constants of the sphere.* Math. Ann. **318** (2000), 417–431.
27. E. Hebey and M. Vaugon, *Effective* L^p *pinching for the concircular curvature.* J. Geom. Anal. **6** (1996), 531–553.
28. G. Huisken, *Ricci deformation of the metric on a Riemannian manifold.* J. Differential Geom. **21** (1985), 47–62.
29. M. J. Lee and T. H. Parker, *The Yamabe problem.* Bull. A. M. S. **17** (1987), 37–91.
30. C. Margerin, *A sharp characterization of the smooth 4-sphere in curvature terms.* Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), 21–65.
31. M. Micallef and M. Wang, *Metrics with nonnegative isotropic curvatures.* Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), 649–672.

Department of Mathematics, Nanchang University, Nanchang, P. R. China 330031
E-mail address: mathfu@126.com